## **SECRET**

CHRONO

OEXA 82-2665 22 April 1982 WES

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD  SUBJECT: 22 April House Permanent Select Committ <u>ee on</u> Intelligence Briefing	
on East European and LDC Economic Debt	25 <b>X</b> 1
1. The House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence (HPSCI) convenin H-405, The Capitol, on 22 April 1982 at 1400 hours to receive testimony on the East European and LDC economic debt. The Honorable Lee H. Hamilton presided.	ed 25X1
2. Members present were:	25X1
Lee H. Hamilton (D., IN)  Norman Y. Mineta (D., CA)  Robert McClory (R., IL)	
3. Present from the Committee were:	25 <b>X</b> 1
Michael J. O'Neil Richard H. Giza Leon Fuerth James O. Bush	
4. Present from Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) were:	25 <b>X</b> 1
Director, Office of European Anaylsis/ Office of European Anaylsis/DDI Office of Soviet Analysis/DDI Office of Global Issues Chief, Congressional Support Staff, Directorate of Intelligence Legislative Liaison Division	25X1
5. After welcoming and introducing the witnesses, Mr. Hamilton stated	
the topic at handEastern European debt and the ramifications of that debt. de then allowed them to proceed.	25X1
began the testimony by outlining the aspects to	25X1
pe covered by each witnessvill address the East European credit situation and debt renegotiations, will handle	25X1
questions regarding LDC debt and credit problems and will	25X1 25X1
conclude with a discussion of the Soviet hard currency debt and credit sanctions.	25X1
	. 25X1

SECRET

Approved For Release 2008/01/09 : CIA-RDP84B00148R000300590008-2

## SECRET

7. led off the substantive portion by defining Eastern Europe as COMECON members including Poland, Romania, Czechoslovakia and Bulgaria and by giving some general background on these countries' ecomonic debt. While all these countries share the problem of financial debt, each country requires a different solution. Some have debt servicing problems which require rescheduling, others have short term liquidity concerns. Still others have current account deficit adjustment problems, while others are running account surpluses and are in fact reducing their	25X1
debt	25 <b>X</b> 1
8. After providing a detailed statistical breakdown of each country's debt problems, opened the floor for questions. Mr. McClory's first question centered around the potential domino effect of a major default of an Eastern European country. Would such a default plunge us worldwide into a deeper economic crisis than we are already experiencing?	25X1
In response, stated that it is unlikely that such a scenario would enfold. A chain effect would occur only if the crisis of confidence continues on the bankers' side and the government pushes a little bit	25 <b>X</b> 1
further. Although it is certainly possible that Poland or Romania could default, our assessment is that the system could cope with it. Raising another question relating to default, Mr. McClory inquired whether the resources of the IMF are substantial enough to solve all the problems of a threatened default. Rather than addressing the specific issue of	
resources explained that IMF membership is viewed by the bankersparticularly if a standby arrangement with a stabilization program is negotiatedas a stamp of approval by an impartial international financial institution. Mr. McClory ended his line of questioning by	25X1
asserting that communism does not work.	25 <b>X</b> 1
9. Mr. Hamilton then asked numerous questions about the state of the Polish economy. What are the consequences of a Polish default? What does this mean for the United States? How would the spillover effect of the Polish situation impact on other East European countries such as Romania and Yugoslavia? Responding to the first query, explained	25X1
that if Poland does not pay back its principal and interest payments, their creditors will then take legal action to try to recover what they can from Polish assests in order to cover the debt service payments.	25 <b>X</b> 1
As far as the U.S. is concerned, stated that we would lose some debt servicing. At the close of 1981, Poland owed the U.S. approxi-	*· .
mately 3.2 billion dollars, which indicated would be irrecoverable. It might be worthwhile, however, for the U.S. to write off this debt rather than to extend more credit. Since the debt problems encountered by other East European countries are so intricate, the remainder of the briefing was devoted to an in-depth discussion on the individual	25X1
countries.	25 <b>X</b> 1
10 In concluding this session Mr. Hamilton raised some general	

10. In concluding this session, Mr. Hamilton raised some general questions about the LDC debt. In response to Mr. Hamilton's question  $\frac{1}{2}$ 



regarding the <u>350 billion</u> dollar f	figure for LDC debt which was provided earlier	
in the session stated it	was accurate, depending upon how one classifie	25X1
LDC. The figure could be higher in	if you include certain other countries.	
We are most concerned, according to		25X1
which account for seventy-five per-	cent of the total LDC debt. Of particular	
concern are Mexico, Brazil and Argo	gentina, which although not in default at the	
present, are exhausting their cred	lit limit with US banks.	25X1
Ī		25X1
•		
Distribution:		
Original - OEXA Record		
1 - D/EURA/DDI	···	
1 -	EURA/DDI	25X1
1 - C/HL/LLD/OEXA		
1 - OEXA Chrono		
LLD:JTC:pm (6 December 1982)		

3

## SECRET